UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/501,332	02/09/2000	Charles Merriam	5437-055	3704

22835	7590	12/04/2003

PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP
508 SECOND STREET
SUITE 201
DAVIS, CA 95616

EXAMINER
HA, LEYNNA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2131	

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/501,332	MERRIAM, CHARLES
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LEYNNA T. HA	2131

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

> A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
> - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
> - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
> - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
> - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
> - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____ .

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-33* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-33* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____ .

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

    a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> .

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)☐ Other: .

DETAILED ACTION

1.   Claims 1-33 have been examined and rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act

of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)

prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2.   **Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated**

by Boneh, Et Al. (US 6,134,660).

As per claim 1:

Boneh, Et Al. teaches a method for managing information retention

system (col.5, lines 63-67) wherein includes a central file server 102 that has a

system memory 104 wherein the system memory includes a file system 201

having a number of files of electronic information and a key file for storing encryption keys (col.4, lines 17-21).

Boneh discloses the system receiving a set of information and associating one or more keys with the set of information wherein encrypting the set of information using one or more keys (col.4, lines 53-55). Further, Boneh discusses storing the set of information in encrypted form in the backup system in the form of a repository (col.4, lines 50-53) and purging the set of information from the system by deleting one or more keys, thereby making the set of information inaccessible or unrenderable (col.4, line 65 thru col.5, line 12). The Examiner asserts purging is to delete or eliminate old or unneeded information (i.e. keys) systematically. Boneh does purge the set of information systematically by deleting the key once the key's lifetime is expired or old keys (col.5, lines 13-22).

As per claim 2:

Boneh teaches the set of information is purged from the system without requiring that the encrypted form of the set of information be deleted from the one or more repositories (col.5, lines 1-32).

As per claim 3:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55).

As per claim 4:

Boneh includes the keys comprises a symmetrically paired set of keys (col.7, lines 45-62).

As per claim 5:

Boneh discloses receiving a request from an information sink to render the set of information to a user prior to deletion of one or more keys (col.6, lines 26-50). The Examiner ascertains an information sink is a device that receives information from another device. Boneh teaches an information sink involving a network server such as the Internet server and a computer system for communicating information over a network (col.7, lines 28-62).

Boneh further discusses accessing the encrypted set of information from one or more of the repositories, decrypting the encrypted set of information using a key to derive the set of information (col.7, lines 49-51) and enabling the information sink to render the set of information to the user (col.7, lines 28-62).

As per claim 6:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55) and the encrypted information set is decrypted only when it is necessary to render the set of information to the user (col.8, lines 18-28).

As per claim 7:

Boneh discloses receiving a request from an information sink to render the set of information to a user prior to deletion of one or more keys (col.6, lines 26-50). The Examiner ascertains an information sink is a device that receives information from another device. Boneh teaches an information sink involving a network server such as the Internet server and a computer system for communicating information over a network (col.7, lines 28-62).

Boneh further discusses accessing the encrypted set of information from one or more of the repositories, accessing one or more keys (col.4, lines 50-55), and providing the encrypted information and key(s) to enable the information sink to decrypt the encrypted set of information using the key(s) to render the set of information to the user (col.7, lines 28-62).

As per claim 8:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55) and the encrypted information set is decrypted only when it is necessary to render the set of information to the user (col.8, lines 18-28).

As per claim 9:

Boneh discloses determining the method of purging based upon an information retention policy (col.5, lines 25-31), whether the set of information should be purged from the system and should the information set determined to be purged wherein Boneh teaches purging the set of information by deleting the

key(s) (col.6, lines 13-15). Thus, making the set of information unrenderable (col.6, lines 13-15).

As per claim 10:

Boneh discusses the information retention policy is time-based such that the set of information is purged after a certain period of time (col.5, lines 13-30).

As per claim 11:

Boneh discusses the retention policy is time-based such that the set of information is purged when one or more conditions are satisfied (col.6, lines 6-15).

As per claim 12:

Boneh, Et Al. teaches an apparatus for managing information retention system (col.5, lines 63-67) includes a central file server 102 that has a system memory 104 wherein the system memory includes a file system 201 having a number of files of electronic information and a key file for storing encryption keys (col.4, lines 17-21).

Boneh discloses mechanisms receiving a set of information into a system and associating one or more keys with the set of information wherein encrypting the set of information using one or more keys (col.4, lines 53-55). Further, Boneh discusses the mechanism for storing the set of information in encrypted form in the backup system in the form of a repository (col.4, lines 50-53) and the mechanism for purging the set of information from the system by deleting one or more keys, thereby making the set of information

inaccessible or unrenderable (col.4, line 65 thru col.5, line 12). The Examiner asserts purging is to delete or eliminate old or unneeded information (i.e. keys) systematically. Boneh does purge the set of information systematically by deleting the key once the key's lifetime is expired or old keys (col.5, lines 13-22).

As per claim 13:

Boneh teaches the set of information is purged from the system without requiring that the encrypted form of the set of information be deleted from the one or more repositories (col.5, lines 1-32).

As per claim 14:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55).

As per claim 15:

Boneh includes the keys comprises a symmetrically paired set of keys (col.7, lines 45-62).

As per claim 16:

Boneh discloses the mechanism of receiving a request from an information sink to render the set of information to a user prior to deletion of one or more keys (col.6, lines 26-50). The Examiner ascertains an information sink is a device that receives information from another device. Boneh teaches an information sink involving a network server such as the Internet server and

a computer system for communicating information over a network (col.7, lines 28-62).

Boneh further discusses the mechanisms for accessing the encrypted set of information from one or more of the repositories, decrypting the encrypted set of information using a key to derive the set of information (col.7, lines 49-51), and enabling the information sink to render the set of information to the user (col.7, lines 28-62).

As per claim 17:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55) and the encrypted information set is decrypted only when it is necessary to render the set of information to the user (col.8, lines 18-28).

As per claim 18:

Boneh discloses the mechanism for receiving a request from an information sink to render the set of information to a user prior to deletion of one or more keys (col.6, lines 26-50). The Examiner ascertains an information sink is a device that receives information from another device. Boneh teaches an information sink involving a network server such as the Internet server and a computer system for communicating information over a network (col.7, lines 28-62).

Boneh further discusses the mechanisms of accessing the encrypted set of information from one or more of the repositories, accessing one or more keys

(col.4, lines 50-55), and providing the encrypted information and key(s) to enable the information sink to decrypt the encrypted set of information using the key(s) to render the set of information to the user (col.7, lines 28-62).

As per claim 19:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55) and the encrypted information set is decrypted only when it is necessary to render the set of information to the user (col.8, lines 18-28).

As per claim 20:

Boneh discloses the mechanism for determining to purge based upon an information retention policy (col.5, lines 25-31), whether the set of information should be purged from the system and should the information set determined to be purged wherein Boneh teaches the mechanism for purging the set of information is by deleting the key(s) (col.6, lines 13-15). Thus, making the set of information unrenderable (col.6, lines 13-15).

As per claim 21:

Boneh discusses the information retention policy is time-based such that the set of information is purged after a certain period of time (col.5, lines 13-30).

As per claim 22:

Boneh discusses the retention policy is time-based such that the set of information is purged when one or more conditions are satisfied (col.6, lines 6-15).

As per claim 23:

Boneh, Et Al. teaches a computer readable medium having stored thereon instructions (col.5, lines 13-27) which when executed by one or more processors, cause the processor(s) to manage the information retention system. The managing information retention system includes wherein includes a central file server 102 that has a system memory 104 wherein the system memory includes a file system 201 having a number of files of electronic information and a key file for storing encryption keys (col.4, lines 17-21).

Boneh includes instructions for causing the processor(s) to receive a set of information into the system (col.4, lines 27-29), associating one or more keys with the set of information wherein encrypting the set of information using one or more keys (col.4, lines 53-55). Further, Boneh discusses having instructions for storing the set of information in encrypted form in the backup system in the form of a repository (col.4, lines 50-53) and purging the set of information from the system by deleting one or more keys, thereby making the set of information inaccessible or unrenderable (col.4, line 65 thru col.5, line 12). The Examiner asserts purging is to delete or eliminate old or unneeded information (i.e. keys) systematically. Boneh does purge the set of information systematically by deleting the key once the key's lifetime is expired or old keys (col.5, lines 13-22).

As per claim 24:

Boneh teaches the set of information is purged from the system without requiring that the encrypted form of the set of information be deleted from the one or more repositories (col.5, lines 1-32).

As per claim 25:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55).

As per claim 26:

Boneh includes the keys comprises a symmetrically paired set of keys (col.7, lines 45-62).

As per claim 27:

Boneh discloses having instructions (col.5, lines 13-27) for causing the processor(s) to receive a request from an information sink to render the set of information to a user prior to deletion of one or more keys (col.6, lines 26-50). The Examiner ascertains an information sink is a device that receives information from another device. Boneh teaches an information sink involving a network server such as the Internet server and a computer system for communicating information over a network (col.7, lines 28-62).

Boneh further includes instructions for causing the processor(s) to access the encrypted set of information from one or more of the repositories, decrypting the encrypted set of information using a key to derive the set of

information (col.7, lines 49-51), and enabling the information sink to render the set of information to the user (col.7, lines 28-62).

As per claim 28:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55) and the encrypted information set is decrypted only when it is necessary to render the set of information to the user (col.8, lines 18-28).

As per claim 29:

Boneh discloses having instructions for causing the processor(s) to receive a request from an information sink to render the set of information to a user prior to deletion of one or more keys (col.6, lines 26-50). The Examiner ascertains an information sink is a device that receives information from another device. Boneh teaches an information sink involving a network server such as the Internet server and a computer system for communicating information over a network (col.7, lines 28-62).

Boneh further includes instructions for accessing the encrypted set of information from one or more of the repositories, accessing one or more keys (col.4, lines 50-55), and providing the encrypted information and key(s) to enable the information sink to decrypt the encrypted set of information using the key(s) to render the set of information to the user (col.7, lines 28-62).

As per claim 30:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55) and the encrypted information set is decrypted by the information sink only when it is necessary to render the set of information to the user (col.8, lines 18-28). See also col.7, lines 45-62.

As per claim 31:

Boneh discloses determining the method of purging based upon an information retention policy (col.5, lines 25-31), whether the set of information should be purged from the system and should the information set determined to be purged wherein Boneh teaches purging the set of information by deleting the key(s) (col.6, lines 13-15). Thus, making the set of information unrenderable (col.6, lines 13-15).

As per claim 32:

Boneh discusses the information retention policy is time-based such that the set of information is purged after a certain period of time (col.5, lines 13-30).

As per claim 33:

Boneh discusses the retention policy is time-based such that the set of information is purged when one or more conditions are satisfied (col.6, lines 6-15).

Conclusion

For more detailed information regarding the rejected claims 1-33, refer to

Boneh, Et Al. on col.3, ET SEQ.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEYNNA T. HA whose telephone number is (703) 305-3853. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00 - 5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AYAZ SHEIKH can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.

LHa

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100